# Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects

For Evaluating
Motor Vehicle Registration Fee Projects
and
Congestion Mitigation and
Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Projects

# **1999 EDITION**

**California Environmental Protection Agency** 





#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The methods handbook was prepared by the California Air Resources Board (ARB) in cooperation with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). The principal author is Pam Burmich, Air Pollution Specialist.

FOR COPIES of this handbook, see the ARB or Caltrans websites at www.arb.ca.gov or www.dot.ca.gov/hq/TransPrg/, or call the ARB's Transportation Strategies Group at (916) 323-0439. The handbook is also available as a Microsoft Access file that allows the user to enter the appropriate inputs and calculates emission reductions and cost-effectiveness automatically.

# Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects

# **Contents**

		Page
Introduction		1
METHODS		
On-Road Cleaner Vehicle Purchases and Repowering		4
Off-Road Cleaner Vehicle Purchases and Repowering		7
Operation of New Bus Service		10
Vanpools and Shuttles	15	
Suburban Vanpool/Carpool Park-and-Ride Lots		17
Signal Coordination		20
Bicycle Facilities		24
Telecommunications		29
Ridesharing and Pedestrian Facilities		34
EXAMPLE CALCULATIONS		
Purchase of CNG Transit Buses		6
Agricultural Sprayer Engine Repower		9
Commuter Express CNG Bus Service		13
Long-Distance Commuter Vanpools		18
Traffic Signal Coordination		23
Class 2 Bikeway Facility		27
County Probation Videophone Project		32
County Trip Reduction Program		41
EMISSION FACTOR TABLES		
Table 1 - Bus Emission Factors		43
Table 2 - Medium-Duty Vehicle Emission Factors		44
Table 3 - Average Auto Emission Factors		45
Table 4 - Emission Factors by Speed		46
Table 4A - Emission Factors for CO Nonattainment Areas		47
Table 5 - On-Road Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty Cleaner Vehicle Proje	ects	48
Table 6 - Off-Road Emission Factors for Cleaner Vehicle Projects		49
Table 7 - Light-Duty Vehicle Emission Factors		50
Table 8 - Capital Recovery Factors		51

# Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects

# Introduction

Millions of dollars are provided each year to regional and local jurisdictions to help fund projects that reduce emissions from motor vehicles and assist the implementation of transportation measures in regional clean air plans. Two major sources of this funding are the California Motor Vehicle Registration Fee (MV Fees) Program and the federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program.

To ensure that public health benefits are maximized, it is important that projects funded be the most cost-effective at reducing emissions. To achieve this goal, cost-effectiveness evaluations should be used to prioritize projects before final funding decisions are made.

The cost-effectiveness of an air quality project is based on the amount of pollution it eliminates for each dollar spent. This document is a "methods handbook" to help estimate the cost-effectiveness of some of the most widely implemented transportation-related air quality projects:

Cleaner off-road vehicles Signal coordination
Cleaner on-road vehicles Bicycle facilities

New bus service Telecommuting programs

Vanpools and shuttles Ridesharing and pedestrian facilities

For each project type, the methods handbook includes:

- A list of the information needed to evaluate cost-effectiveness.
- "Defaults" that may be used when data is not available.
- Formulas to calculate vehicle emission reductions for three major pollutants:

Reactive organic gases (ROG) Nitrogen oxides (NOx)

Particulate Matter (PM10)

Emission factor tables are included for various vehicle and project types.

- Formula to calculate cost-effectiveness
- Sample evaluation to aid in using the method

#### Cost-Effectiveness

Cost-effectiveness for MV Fees and CMAQ projects should be expressed as dollars spent per pound of pollutant reduced (ROG + NOx + PM10). Cost-effectiveness is typically based on total project costs, including capital investments and operating costs. However, for the purposes of this document, cost-effectiveness is based on clean air funding dollars. Project funding generally covers only the incremental additional costs of a cleaner engine or vehicle.

The funding dollars are amortized over the expected project life using a discount rate. The amortization formula yields a capital recovery factor, which, when multiplied by the funding, gives the annual funding for the project over its expected lifetime. The discount rate reflects the opportunity cost of public funds for the clean air programs. This is the level of earning that could be reasonably expected by investing public funds in various financial instruments, such as U.S. Treasury securities. Cost-effectiveness is determined by dividing annualized funds by annual emission reductions (ROG + NOx + PM10).

The following table gives capital recovery factors that may be used to annualize funding dollars according to project life. The capital recovery factors calculated to two decimal places are the same for discount rates 4.75% and 5%.

Project Life	Capital Recovery Factor
	for discount rates 4.75% or 5%
1 year	1.05
3 years	0.37
5 years	0.23
7 years	0.17
10 years	0.13
12 years	0.11
15 years	0.10
20 years	0.08

#### **Defaults**

The methods in this handbook call for monitored data and information inputs that may not be readily available. Defaults are provided for each method based on local and national travel surveys, surveys conducted by local air districts, research projects funded by the Air Resources Board (ARB) and air districts, and ARB guidance documents. Local data should be used in place of defaults when data is available. Emission factors are based on certification testing and ARB's statewide mobile source inventory.

#### Federal CMAQ Reporting Requirements

Carbon monoxide. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requests that CO emission reductions be reported for CMAQ projects. California's MV Fee Program does not request CO information. CO is a localized pollutant and not a regional pollution problem. Most projects using CMAQ and MV Fee dollars are funded primarily to reduce regional ozone and PM10 and have little impact on localized CO hot spots.

Signal coordination projects, however, may be targeted at specific CO hot spots in CO nonattainment areas. CO emission factors are included in the 1999 Edition in order to report to FHWA on these types of CMAQ projects. Reporting CO emission reductions should be limited to targeted projects located in CO nonattainment areas (Los Angeles and Imperial counties) or projects in CO maintenance areas.

In addition, CO emissions are several orders of magnitude larger than ozone precursors. CO overwhelms cost-effectiveness ratios unless CO emission reductions are scaled back significantly, typically by a factor of seven. This adjustment should be made when using cost-effectiveness ratios as a basis for funding decisions. Another option is to consider CO projects separately from ozone precursor projects.

*Kilograms*. FHWA requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported in kilograms per day. The methods handbook therefore includes formulas to convert pounds per year of emission reductions to kilograms per day.

#### <u>Infrastructure Projects</u>

Supporting infrastructure may be necessary for some kinds of emission reducing projects to be successful. Examples of infrastructure projects are alternative-fueled vehicle refueling stations, electric vehicle recharging facilities, public education programs, multi-modal transit infrastructure projects, and automated transit schedule information. Because infrastructure projects are difficult to evaluate for cost-effectiveness, they are not included in this handbook. However, they should be evaluated with respect to their consistency with clean air plans. Funding priorities can be structured to include supporting projects.

#### Mobile Source Emission Reduction Credits

The methods handbook should not be used to determine mobile source credits which can be sold or traded. For procedures on how to generate these credits, please refer to the Air Resources Board document, <u>Mobile Source Emission Reduction Credits Guidelines</u>.

Air Resources Board regulations require new motor vehicles (including transit buses) to meet progressively more stringent emission standards. Emission reductions associated with the natural replacement of older vehicles with newer, cleaner models are included in motor vehicle emission inventories in clean air plans, and thus are not new emission reductions. Since Mobile Source Credits may be sold or traded, they must go beyond the emission reductions already accounted for in clean air plans.

# **On-Road Cleaner Vehicle Purchases and Repowering**

**Project definition:** The purchase of a motor vehicle that is certified to be less polluting than a typical new vehicle (cleaner purchase) or an engine replacement that transforms a vehicle into a less polluting one (cleaner repower). For heavy-duty on-road vehicles, these projects are usually the purchase of a cleaner, alternative-fueled engine or vehicle instead of a new conventional diesel-fueled engine or vehicle. Since natural replacement of older vehicles or engines with newer, cleaner ones (fleet turnover) is accounted for in clean air plans, in order to claim emission reductions from the project, the vehicles purchased must emit less pollution than conventional new vehicles meeting current emission standards.

**How emissions are reduced:** Emission reductions are the difference between the emissions associated with a new, more polluting vehicle minus the emissions associated with a new, less polluting vehicle.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars

Annual vehicle miles traveled (VMT)

Engine certification rates or cleaner vehicle classification

Inputs	Default	t Units	Comments
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	12	years	Suggested defaults are: Cleaner
			heavy-duty transit or urban bus - 12
			Electric bus - 18, School bus - 20,
			Heavy-duty trucks - 10, Medium-duty
			vehicles - 10, Light-duty vehicles - 8
			Light-duty electric vehicles - 10
Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled	1	annual miles	Transit bus - 40,000 mi/yr
(VMT)			School bus - 15,000 mi/yr
			Heavy-duty truck - 29,000 mi/yr
			(based on engine life of 290,000 mi.)

#### **Emission Factor Inputs** (Example is for Transit Buses)

	Default	Units	Default	Units
	<b>Before Emission Factor</b>		<b>After Emission Factor</b>	
ROG Factor		g/mi		g/mi
NOx Factor	17.2	g/mi	8.6	g/mi
PM10 Factor		g/mi		g/mi

To locate emission factors, refer to emission factor tables at the end of the document. The defaults above are for heavy-duty transit buses. Benefits for heavy-duty vehicles are usually based on NOx emissions only. The "Before" Emission Factor (17.2 g/mi) represents a typical new diesel bus engine. The "After" Emission Factor (8.6 g/mi) represents a compressed natural gas (CNG) bus engine certified to 2.0 g/bhp-hr. Electric buses use 0 as default value.

For more information on heavy-duty emission factors, see Table 5, On-Road Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty Cleaner Vehicle Projects (1998-1999). For medium-duty vehicle and light-duty emission factors, see Table 2 and Table 7. Select the factors that best represent your project. Another source for emission factors is the engine's original manufacturer.

**Formulas** Units

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) = lbs/year (VMT)\*[(Before Emission Factor) - (After Emission Factor)]/454

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) =  $\frac{(1+i)^{n} (i)}{(1+i)^{n} - 1}$ 

where: i = discount rate (Assume 5 percent)n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = (CRF \* Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10) dollars/lb

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

 $(lbs \ per \ year) / [(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$ 

#### On-Road Cleaner Vehicle Purchases and Repowering (Optional Method)

Emissions can also be calculated using emission factors in units of g/bhp-hr multiplied by annual fuel consumption and an energy consumption factor. The default for the energy consumption factor is 18.5 hp-hr/gal. In the formula above, substitute annual gallons of fuel in place of **VMT**. Substitute emission rates in units of g/bhp-hr multiplied by 18.5 in place of the **Before Emisson Factor** and the **After Emission Factor**.

#### **Purchase CNG Transit Buses**

A transit provider is purchasing 19 40-foot CNG transit buses to replace existing diesel buses. The vehicles will be equipped with the Cummins 2.0 g/bhp-hr NOx dedicated CNG engine.

#### Inputs to calculate cost-effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding) = \$760,000 (The CNG buses cost \$40,000 per bus more than the diesel buses.)

Effectiveness Period (Life): 12 years

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT): 988,000 miles

(19 buses travel 52,000 miles annually per bus).

#### **Emissions Factors (From Table 5):**

"Before" Emission Factor "After" Emission Factor

ROG Factor not applicable not applicable

NOx Factor 17.2 8.6

PM10 Factor not applicable not applicable

#### Calculations:

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) =

(VMT) \* [(Before Emission Factor) - (After Emission Factor)]/454

**ROG:** 0 lbs. per year reduced

NOx: 988,000 \* [(17.2) - (8.6)]/454 = 18,715 lbs. per year reduced

PM10: 0 lbs. per year reduced

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\underline{(1 + i)^n(i)}$$
 where:  $n = project \ life \ (12 \ years)$  (From Table 8)  $(1 + i)^n - 1$   $i = discount \ rate \ (5\%)$ 

$$CRF = \frac{(1 + .05)^{12}(.05)}{(1 + .05)^{12} - 1} = 0.11$$

Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars = 
$$(CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)$$
  
= $(0.11 * 760,000) / (0 + 18,715 + 0)$ 

= \$4 per lb.

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (0 + 19,586 + 0 = 19,586) and

convert emissions reductions per year to kg/day:

# Off-Road Cleaner Vehicle Purchases and Repowering

**Project definition:** Replacing uncontrolled diesel engines in off-road equipment, such as agricultural or construction equipment, with lower-emitting, controlled diesel engines or alternative fueled engines. Repowering vehicles with cleaner new engines is done instead of rebuilding the old engine. Diesel engines, rather than alternative fueled engines, are typically used to meet the needs of these applications.

**How emissions are reduced:** Emission reductions are the difference between the emissions associated with an older rebuilt, more polluting engine minus the emissions associated with the less polluting new engine. Emission reductions are primarily NOx reductions.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars
Annual vehicle operating hours
Horsepower
Engine load factor

Inputs	Default	t Units	Comments
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	10	years	
Annual Vehicle Operating		annual hours	Operating hours range:
Hours ( <b>OperHrs</b> )			Agricultural Equipment 110 - 814
			Construction Equipment 130-1836
Horsepower ( <b>HP</b> )		bhp	
Load			Load range:
			Agricultural Equipment 0.38 - 0.7
			Construction Equipment 0.43-0.78

#### **Emission Factor Inputs**

T 1--24-

**Default** 

Units				
	<b>Before Emission Factor</b>		After Emission Factor	
ROG Factor				
NOx Factor	13.0	g/bhp-hr	6.9	g/bhp-hr
PM10 Factor				

Units

**Default** 

To locate emission factors, refer to emission factor tables at the end of the document. Benefits for off-road vehicle engines are usually based on NOx emissions only. The "Before" Emission Factor (13.0 g/bhp-hr) represents a typical old diesel engine. The "After" Emission Factor (6.9 g/bhp-hr) represents a new diesel engine. For more information on emission factors, see Table 6, Off-Road Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emission Factors for Cleaner Vehicle Projects. (ARB will consider an updated off-road emissions model in late 1999.)

**Formulas** Units

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) =

lbs/year

(OperHrs)\*(HP)\*(Load)\*[(Before Emission Factor) - (After Emission Factor)]/454

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^{n}(i)}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$$

i =discount rate (Assume 5 percent) where:

n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = 
$$(CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)$$

dollars/lb

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

$$(lbs\ per\ year)/[(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$$

#### Off-Road Cleaner Vehicle Purchases and Repowering (Optional Method)

Annual operating hours (**OperHrs**), horsepower (**HP**), and Load (**L**) can be replaced in the formula with annual fuel consumption in gallons per year multiplied by an energy consumption factor expressed as hp-hr/gal. The default for the energy consumption factor is 18.5 hp-hr/gal. In the formula above, substitute annual gallons of fuel in place of **OperHrs**. Substitute 18.5 in place of **HP\*Load**.

#### Agricultural Sprayer Engine Repower

A company proposes to re-power two agricultural sprayers with new diesel engines.

The new diesel engines will emit 6.9 g/bhp-hr of NOx compared to the old engines rebuilt to emit 13 g/bhp-hr.

#### Inputs to calculate cost-effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding) = \$8,000

Effectiveness Period (Life): 10 years

Annual Vehicle Operating Hours (Oper Hrs): 740 hours per year

where each engine operates for 370 hrs/ year.

Horse Power (HP): 100 hp

Load factor: 0.5

#### **Emissions Factors: (From Table 6)**

"Before" Emission Factor "After" Emission Factor

ROG Factor not applicable not applicable
NOx Factor 13 grams/ bhp-hr 6.9 grams/ bhp-hr

PM10 Factor not applicable not applicable

#### Calculations

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) =

(Oper Hrs) \* (HP) \* (Load) \* [(Before Emission Factor) - (After Emission Factor)] / 454

**ROG**: 0

NOx: (740)\*(100)\*(0.5)\*[(13) - (6.9)]/454 = 497 lbs. per year reduced

**PM10**: 0

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) =  $\frac{(1+i)^n(i)}{(1+i)^n}$  where:  $i = discount \ rate \ (assume 5 \ percent)$ (From Table 8)  $(1+i)^n - 1$   $n = project \ life \ (10 \ years)$ 

 $CRF = \frac{(1 + .05)^{10}(.05)}{(1 + .05)^{10} - 1} = 0.13$ 

Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars = (CRF \* Funding)/(ROG + NOx + PM10)

=(0.13 \* 8,000) / (497)

= \$2 per lb.

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (0+ 497 +0 = 497) and

2.2 lbs./kg \* 365 days/year 2.2 \* 365

# **Operation of New Bus Service**

**Project definition:** New, extended, and increased-frequency routes with cleaner, alternative fueled vehicles provide new hours of bus service per year and serve additional people. These are fixed-route services implemented by transit agencies or school districts. Cleaner, alternative-fueled vehicles should be used in bus service expansions in order to achieve emission reductions from the project. For example, a typical urban transit bus with a new diesel engine (4.0 g/bhp-hr NOx) needs to operate at capacity (40 bus riders) in order to offset the NOx emissions associated with the bus itself. Cleaner, alternative-fueled buses (i.e., 2.0 g/bhp-hr NOx) will offset bus emissions with half as many bus riders.

**How emissions are reduced:** Emission reductions result from the decrease in emissions associated with auto trips replaced by the new bus service after adjusting for the added bus emissions and auto access.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars

Number of operating days per year

Average daily ridership of new service (usually less than 100% occupancy)

Average length of auto trips replaced

Percent of riders who drive to the bus service

Annual VMT for the new bus service

Inputs	Default	Units	Comments
For the Bus Service			
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	1	years	Years project is funded.
Days ( <b>D</b> )	260	days (of operation)/year	Suggested defaults are weekday services - 260 days,
			daily services - 365 days,
			school bus services - 180 to
			200 days
Ridership ( <b>R</b> )		total trips	
		(bus riders)/day	
Annual Bus VMT ( <b>Bus VMT</b> )		annual miles traveled	
For Auto Travel Reduced			Auto travel defaults are based on local information.
Adjustment (A) on Auto Trips	0.5		This factor equals the portion
for transit dependent			of transit riders who are NOT
			transit dependent. Use 0.83 as
			the adjustment for commuter
			bus service.

Inputs	<b>Default</b>	Units	Comments
Auto Trip Length (L)	9	miles one	Length of average auto trips
		direction/trip	reduced. Other suggested
			defaults are work trip bus
			services - 16 mi.,
			school bus - 3 mi.
For Auto Travel Added to			
Access Bus Service			
Adjustment ( <b>AA</b> ) on Auto	0.5		This factor equals the portion
Trips for Auto Access to and			of riders who drive to the
from transit service			transit service. Use 0.8 as the
			adjustment for long-distance
			commuter service.
Trip Length (LL) for Auto	2	miles one	Use 5 miles for long-distance
Access to and from transit		direction/trip	bus service.

#### **Emission Factor Inputs for Auto Travel**

	Default	Units	Default	Units
_	<b>Auto Trip End Factor</b>		<b>Auto VMT Factor</b>	
ROG Factor	4.98	grams/trip	0.55	grams/mile
NOx Factor	2.05	"	1.02	"
PM10 Factor	0	"	0.45	"

To locate emission factors, refer to emission factor tables at the end of the document. Defaults are for 1 to 5 years effectiveness period. See Table 3 to select emission factors for different effectiveness periods.

# Emission Factor Inputs for Clean, Alternative-Fueled Bus Travel Default Units

	Delault	Ullits
	<b>Bus VMT Factor</b>	
ROG Factor	3.1	grams/mile
NOx Factor	8.6	"
PM10 Factor	0.6	"

Defaults are for a compressed natural gas transit bus engine (2.0 g/bhp-hr NOx) model year 1998. (See Table 5.) Table 1 also provides emission factors for older diesel buses for purposes of comparison. For newer diesel buses or newer cleaner, alternative fueled buses, see Table 5.

Formulas Units

Annual Auto Trips Reduced = [(D)\*(R)\*(A)]\*[1 - (AA)] trips/year

Annual Auto VMT Reduced = [(D)\*(R)\*(A)]\*[(L) - (AA)\*(LL)] miles/year

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) =

lbs/year

[(Annual Auto Trips Reduced)\*(Auto Trip End Factor) + (Annual Auto VMT Reduced)\*(Auto VMT Factor) - (Bus VMT)\*(Bus VMT factor)]/454

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^{n} (i)}{(1+i)^{n} - 1}$$

where: i = discount rate (Assume 5 percent)n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = 
$$(CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)$$
 dollars/lb

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

 $(lbs \ per \ year) / [(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$ 

#### Commuter Express CNG Bus Service

An 80-mile subscription commute bus service operates using five, 40-passenger compressed natural gas (CNG) buses.

#### Inputs to calculate cost-effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding): \$96,600 Effectiveness Period (Life): 1 year

Days of use/year (D): 252

Daily Ridership (R): 40 passengers \* 5 buses \*2 ways = 200 \* 2 = 400 bus riders or trips/day Annual Bus VMT (Bus VMT): 201,600 (5 buses \* 80 miles one-way \* 2 ways \* 252 days = 201,600 VMT)

Adjustment (A) on Auto Trips for transit dependent: 0.83

Auto Trip Length (L): 80 miles in one direction

Adjustment (AA) on Auto Trips for Auto Access to and from transit: 0.80 Trip Length (LL) for Auto Access to and from transit: 5 miles one-way.

#### **Emissions Factors for Auto Travel (From Table 3):**

	Auto Trip End Factor	Auto VMT Factor
ROG Factor	4.98 grams per trip	0.55 grams per mile
NOx Factor	2.05	1.02
PM10 Factor	0	0.45

#### Emissions Factors for Clean, Alternative-Fueled Long Distance Commuter Bus Travel (From Table 1):

Bus VMT Factor

ROG Factor 1.1 grams per mile

NOx Factor 6.3 PM10 Factor 0.5

#### Calculations:

Annual Auto Trips Reduced = [(D)\*(R)\*(A)]\*[1-(AA)]=[252\*400\*0.83]\*[1-0.80]= 16,733 annual auto trips Annual Auto VMT Reduced = [(D)\*(R)\*(A)]\*[(L)-(AA)\*(LL)]=[252\*400\*0.83]\*[80-0.80\*5]=[83,664]\*[80-4]= 6,358,464 annual miles

#### Annual Emission Reductions = (lbs. per year)

[(Annual Auto Trips Reduced) \* (Auto Trip End Factor) +

(Annual Auto VMT Reduced) \* (Auto VMT Factor) -(Bus VMT)\*(Bus VMT Factor)]/454

**ROG:** [(16,733)\*(4.98)+(6,358,464)\*(0.55)-(201,600)\*(1.1)]/454 = 7398 lbs. per year **NOx:** [(16,733\*2.05+6,358,464\*1.02)-(201,600)\*(6.3)]/454 = 11,564 lbs. per year **PM10:** [(16,733\*0+6,358,464\*0.45)-(201,600)\*(0.5)]/454 = 6080 lbs. per year

### Operation of New Bus Service, Continued . . .

**EXAMPLE** 

Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars = (CRF \* Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10) = 
$$(1.05 * 96,600) / (7398 + 11564 + 6080) = $4 per lb.$$

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (7398 + 11564 + 6080 = 25,042) and convert emissions reductions to kg/day:

# **Vanpools and Shuttles**

**Project definition:** Projects are commuter vanpools; tourist or shopping shuttles; or rail feeders to work sites, homes, or schools. Services are operated by transit agencies, local governments, transportation management associations (TMAs), private businesses, etc. In most cases, the shuttle service must reduce long-distance auto trips or be a cleaner vehicle in order to reduce emissions cost effectively.

**How emissions are reduced:** Emission reductions result from the decrease in emissions associated with auto trips replaced by the vanpool or shuttle service after adjusting for the increase in emissions associated with the shuttle vehicle itself and auto access trips.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars

Number of operating days per year

Average daily ridership of new service (usually less than 100% occupancy)

Average length of auto trips replaced

Percent of riders who drive to the vanpool or shuttle service

Daily VMT for the new shuttle service

Inputs	Default	t Units	Comments
For the Vanpool/Shuttle			
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	1	years	Years project is funded.
Days ( <b>D</b> )	250	days	Suggested defaults are
		(of operation)/year	weekday vanpools - 250 days,
			weekday shuttles - 260,
			daily services - 365 days,
			school services - 180 to 200 days
Ridership ( <b>R</b> )		total trips	One-way trips by riders (or
		(riders)/day	number of boardings)
Annual Van/Shuttle VMT		annual miles	
(Van VMT)			
For Auto Travel Reduced			
Adjustment (A) on Auto Trips	0.3		This factor equals the portion of
			riders who did NOT previously
			use transit, vanpools, or carpools.
			The default $(0.3)$ is the adjustment
			for new rail feeders. For long-
			distance, commuter vanpool
			service, use 0.83 as the
			adjustment factor A.
Auto Trip Length (L)	35	miles one	Suggested defaults are
		direction/trip	vanpools - 35 mi.,
			shuttle trips - 16 mi.

Inputs	Default	t Units	Comments
For Auto Travel Added to			
Access Vanpool/Shuttle			
Adjustment ( <b>AA</b> ) for Auto	0.5		Enter the percentage of riders
Access to and from			who drive to the vanpool/shuttle
vanpool/shuttle			service. The default is for rail
			feeders. For long-distance
			vanpools, enter 0.75
Trip Length ( <b>LL</b> ) for Auto	2	miles one	The default (2 mi) is for rail
Access to and from		direction/trip	feeders. For long-distance
vanpool/shuttle			vanpools, enter 5 miles.

#### **Emission Factor Inputs for Auto Travel**

	Default	Units	Default	Units
	<b>Auto Trip End Factor</b>		<b>Auto VMT Factor</b>	
ROG Factor	4.98	grams/trip	.55	grams/mile
NOx Factor	2.05	"	1.02	"
PM10 Factor	0	"	.45	"

To locate emission factors, refer to emission factor tables at the end of the document. Defaults are for 1 to 5 years effectiveness period. See Table 3 to select emission factors for different effectiveness periods.

### **Emission Factor Inputs for Van/Shuttle Travel**

<b>Example</b> (1997)		Units
	Van VMT Factor	
ROG Factor	0.58	grams/mile
NOx Factor	1.60	"
PM10 Factor	0.56	"

Defaults are for medium-duty vehicle (weight 8,501 - 10,000 lbs), model year 1997. See Table 2 to select emission factors for vehicles cleaner than standards.

**Formulas** Units

Annual Auto Trip Reduced = [(D) \* (R) \* (A)]\*[1-(AA)] trips/year

Annual Auto VMT Reduced = [(D) \* (R) \* (A)]\* [(L) - (AA)\*(LL)] miles/year

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) = lbs/year

[(Annual Auto Trips Reduced)\*(Auto Trip End Factor)

- + (Annual Auto VMT Reduced)\*(Auto VMT Factor)
- (Van VMT)\*(Van VMT Factor)]/454

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^{n}(i)}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$$

where: i = discount rate (Assume 5 percent)

n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = 
$$(CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)$$
 dollars/lb

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

$$(lbs \ per \ year) / [(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$$

#### Suburban Vanpool/Carpool Park-and-Ride Lots

(Method Variation)

Provision of park-and-ride lots may encourage the formation of vanpools and carpools. The emission reduction benefits from park-and-ride lots can be calculated using the above Vanpools and Shuttles methodology plus the following calculation to estimate Ridership ( $\mathbf{R}$ ).

Ridership (
$$\mathbf{R}$$
) = ( $\mathbf{Parking}$ )\*( $\mathbf{Lot\ Utilization}$ )\*(2 commute trips/day)

#### Where:

**Parking** is the number of parking spaces for a new parking lot or the number of added spaces to an existing lot. **Lot Utilization** is the estimated lot utilization rate from monitored data OR use 0.75 as a default. Also, when using the vanpool/shuttle methodology for park-and-ride lots, the default for Adjustment (**AA**) for Auto Access to and from vanpool/shuttle should be 0.9 instead of 0.5.

#### **Long-Distance Commuter Vanpools**

This project subsidizes 97 long-distance commute vanpools. On average, each vanpool carries 11 people to work. The average distance to work is 48 miles. The vans used are 1995-model year.

#### Inputs to calculate cost-effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding): \$170,352 Effectiveness Period (Life): 1 year

Days of use/year (D): 250

Daily Ridership (R): 11 passengers \* 97 vans \* 2 ways = 2,134 riders or trips/day

Annual Van VMT (Van VMT): 2,328,000 (If you don't know the van mileage, you can estimate it:

97 vans \* 2 ways \* 250 days \* 48 miles one-way = 2,328,000)

Adjustment (A) on Auto Trips: 0.83

Auto Trip Length (L): 48 miles in one direction

Adjustment (AA) on Auto Trips for Auto Access to and from vanpool: 0.75 Trip Length (LL) for Auto Access to and from vanpool: 5 miles one-way

#### **Emissions Factors for Auto Travel (From Table 3):**

	Auto Trip End Factor	Auto VMT Factor
ROG Factor	4.98 grams per trip	0.55 grams per mile
NOx Factor	2.05	1.02
PM10 Factor	0	0.45

#### Emissions Factors for Van Travel (From Table 2, baseline vehicles, 8501-10,000 lbs.):

Van VMT Factor

ROG Factor 0.58 grams per mile

NOx Factor 1.60 PM10 Factor 0.56

#### Calculations:

Annual Auto Trips Reduced = [(D)\*(R)\*(A)]\*[1-(AA)]

=[250 \* 2,134 \* 0.83]\*[1-0.75]

= 110,701 annual auto trips reduced

Annual Auto VMT Reduced = [(D) \*(R)\*(A)] \* [(L) - (AA) \* (LL)]

=[250 \* 2,134 \* 0.83] \* [48-0.75\*5]

=[442,805] \* [48-3.75]

= 19,594,121 annual auto VMT reduced

#### Annual Emission Reductions = (lbs. per year)

[(Annual Auto Trips Reduced) \* (Auto Trip End Factor)

+ (Annual Auto VMT Reduced) \* (Auto VMT Factor) - (Van VMT)\*(Van VMT factor)]/454

**ROG:** [(110,701) \* (4.98) + (19,594,121) \* (0.55) - (2,328,000) \* (0.58)]/454 =**21,978 lbs. per year** 

**NOx:** [(110,701) \* (2.05) + (19,594,121) \* (1.02) - (2,328,000) \* (1.60)]/454 =**36,317**per year

**PM10:** [(110,701)\*(0)+(19,594,121)\*(0.45)-(2,328,000)\*(0.56)]/454 = 16,550 lbs. per year

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^n(i)}{(1+i)^n} = \frac{.0525}{0.05} = 1.05$$
 where  $n = project$  life (1 year)  
(From Table 8)  $(1+i)^n - 1 = 0.05$  and  $i = discount$  rate (5%)

Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars = (CRF \* Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10) = 
$$(1.05 *170,352) / (21,978 + 36,317 + 16,550) =$$
\$2 per lb.

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (21,978 + 36,317 + 16,550 = 74,845) and convert emissions reductions to kg/day:

# **Signal Coordination**

**Project definition:** Improvements to signal timing that reduce overall vehicle stops and delays and that give transit vehicles priority. These include traffic signal synchronization, interconnection, improved timing projects, and transit signal priority projects. (Signal timing and other actions that increase traffic speeds and flows to the detriment of overall traffic performance or that offer a significant inducement to travel by auto are not air quality beneficial. Speed improvements to higher than 30 mph increase NOx emissions and may discourage walking and bicycling. These results may be counterproductive to meeting clean air goals.)

**How emissions are reduced:** Emission reductions in reactive organic gases (ROG) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) are associated with increasing average traffic speeds to up to 30 mph. (NOx emissions start increasing when average speeds are over 30 mph.)

Travel growth degrades project performance over time. Traffic flow improvements that occur immediately after implementation of the project decline to no improvement by the end of the effectiveness period. As a result, the methodology averages speed improvements over the effectiveness period by taking one-half of the first day benefits.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars
Number of operating days per year
Traffic volumes for the congested periods of the day
Length of the roadway segment impacted by the project
Before and after average traffic speeds

The following information may need to be entered separately for each road segment and congested period (i.e. AM peak and PM peak) affected by the project. Vehicle speeds should correspond to the specified traffic volume.

Inputs	Default	t Units	Comments
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	5	years	
Days ( <b>D</b> )	250	operating days	Default equals weekdays.
		per year	
Length (L) of congested		miles	Length of roadway that is
roadway segment			impacted by the project.
Traffic Volume during		trips per day	Traffic volumes during
congested period			congested period.
(Congested Traffic)			

#### **Emission Factor Inputs**

	Example (10 mph)	Units	Example (13 mph)	Units
	<b>Before Speed Factor</b>		<b>After Speed Factor</b>	
ROG Factor	1.58	grams/mile	1.20	grams/mile
NOx Factor	1.78	"	1.60	"
PM10 Factor	0	"	0	"

**Emission Factors** are dependent on the **before-project** and **after-project** average traffic speeds. To select emission factors for various speeds, refer to Table 4 at the end of the document. The factors above are for before-project speed 10 mph and after-project speed 13 mph.

In the example, the before-project speed is 10 mph and the maximum <u>average</u> speed increase over the project effectiveness period is 25% from the speed increase table below. Therefore, the resulting after-project speed used in the table above to find the after-speed emission factors is 12.5 mph. In the example, 12.5 mph is rounded to 13 mph to find the corresponding emission factor. The emission factors in Table 4 can also be interpolated.

If speeds are unknown, average traffic speed can be estimated using the segment length (L) and a travel time (T) for vehicles passing through the segment. (Speed = L/T). After-project speeds can also be estimated by using the following information:

Before Condition	After Condition	Percent Increase in Speed	
Non-interconnected, pre-timed			
signals with old timing plan	Advanced computer-based control	25%	
Interconnected, pre-timed signals			
with old timing plan	Advanced computer-based control	17.5%	
Non-interconnected signals with			
traffic-actuated controllers	Advanced computer-based control	16%	
Interconnected, pre-timed signals			
with actively managed timing	Advanced computer-based control	8%	
Interconnected, pre-timed signals			
with various forms of master control	Optimization of signal timing plans.		
and various qualities of timing plans	No changes in hardware	12%	
Non-interconnected, pre-timed			
signals with old timing plan	Optimization of signal timing plans	7.5%	

Sources: Federal Highway Administration, "Urban and Suburban Highway Congestion, Working Paper No. 10," Washington, D.C., December 1987; Caltrans, Fuel Efficient Traffic Signal Management (FETSIM) Grant Program for Local Governments 1992 Grant Applications Manual, 1991.

Formulas
Annual Project VMT (VMT) = (D) \* (L) \* (Congested Traffic)

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) = lbs/year 0.5 \* [(VMT)\*(Before Speed Factor - After Speed Factor)]/454

Note: Initial speed improvements decline to zero improvement by the end of the effectiveness period. In order to account for this, the emission reduction equation reduces initial emission reduction benefits by one half.

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^{n} (i)}{(1+i)^{n} - 1}$$

where: i = discount rate (Assume 5 percent)n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = 
$$(CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)$$
 dollars/lb

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

$$(lbs\ per\ year)/[(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$$

Signal Coordination EXAMPLE

### **Traffic Signal Coordination**

The City's master traffic signal controller was replaced with a new controller with expanded capacity. This allowed 26 more intersections to be coordinated.

#### Inputs to Calculate Cost-Effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding): \$90,000 Effectiveness Period (Life): 5 years

Days of use/year (D): 250

Length of congested roadway segment (L): 8.07 miles

Traffic Volume during congested period (Congested Traffic): 88,643 trips per

yak

Before Speed: 28 mph After Speed: 33 mph

#### **Emissions Factor Inputs (From Table 4):**

	Before Speed Factor	After Speed Factor
ROG Factor	0.51 grams per mile	0.43 grams per mile
NOx Factor	1.14	1.13
PM10 Factor	0	0

#### Calculations:

Annual Project VMT (VMT) = (D) 
$$^*$$
 (L)  $^*$  (Congested Traffic) = 250  $^*$  8.07  $^*$  88,643 = 178,837,253 annual miles

#### Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) in lbs. per year

= [(.50)\*(VMT)\*(Before Speed Factor - After Speed Factor)]/454 grams per lb.

**ROG:** [(.50)\*(178,837,253)\*(0.51-0.43)]/454 = 15,757 lbs. per year

**NOx:** [(.50)\*(178,837,253)\*(1.14 - 1.13)]/454 = 1,970 lbs. per year

**PM10:** [(.50)\*(178,837,253)\*(0-0)]/454 = 0 lbs. per year

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\underline{(1+i)^n(i)}$$
 = .23 where n = project life (5 years)  
(From Table 8)  $(1+i)^n - 1$  and i = discount rate (5%)

#### Cost-Effectiveness

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (15,727 + 1,970 = 17,727) and convert emissions reductions to kg/day:  $\underline{lbs. reduced per year} = \underline{17,727} = 22 \text{ kg/day}$ 2.2  $\underline{lbs./kg} * 365 \text{ days/year}$  2.2 \* 365

# **Bicycle Facilities**

**Project definition:** Bicycle paths (Class 1) or bicycle lanes (Class 2) are targeted to reduce commute and other non-recreational auto travel. Class 1 facilities are paths that are physically separated from motor vehicle traffic. Class 2 facilities are striped bicycle lanes giving preferential or exclusive use to bicycles. Bike lanes should meet Caltrans' full-width standard depending on street facility type.

**How emissions are reduced:** Emission reductions result from the decrease in emissions associated with auto trips replaced by bicycle trips for commute or other non-recreational purposes.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars
Number of operating days per year
Average length of bicycle trips
Average daily traffic volume on roadway parallel to bicycle project
City population
Project class (1 or 2)
Types of activity centers in the vicinity of the bicycle project
Length of bicycle path or lane

Inputs	Default	Units	Comments
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		Dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	15	Years	Class 1 projects - 20 years
			Class 2 projects - 15 years
Days ( <b>D</b> )	200	Days of use/year	Consider local climate in
			number of days used.
Average Length (L) of bicycle	1.8	Miles per trip in	Default is based on the
trips		one direction	National Personal
			Transportation Survey
Annual Average Daily Traffic		Trips per day	Two-direction traffic volumes
(ADT)			on roadway parallel to bike
			project.
			MAXIMUM IS 30,000.
Adjustment (A) on ADT for	.0020		See Adjustment Factors table
auto trips replaced by bike			on the next page. Adjustments
trips from the bike facility.			are based on facility class,
			ADT, project length, and
			community characteristics.
Credit (C) for Activity Centers	.0005		See Activity Centers table on
near the project.			the next page.

Commonto

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS				
BIKE FACILITY CLASS	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)	LENGTH OF BIKE PROJECT (one direction)	ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR CITIES WITH POP. ≥ 250,000 and non-university towns < 250,000	ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR UNIVERSITY TOWNS WITH POP. < 250,000
Class 1 (bike	ADT ≤ 12,000	≤ 1 mile	.0019	.0104
path) & Class 2 (bike lane)	vehicles per day	$>1 \& \le 2 \text{ miles}$ > 2 miles	.0029	.0155
Class 1 (bike	12,000< ADT	≤ 1 mile	.0014	.0073
path)	≤24,000	$>1 \& \le 2 \text{ miles}$	.0020	.0109
& Class 2 (bike lane)	vehicles per day	> 2 miles	.0027	.0145
Class 2 bike lane	24,000< ADT	≤ 1 mile	.0010	.0052
	≤30,000	$>1 \& \le 2 \text{ miles}$	.0014	.0078
	vehicles per day Maximum is 30,000	> 2 miles	.0019	.0104

When evaluating the impact of a new bike project, it is important to consider the location of the bike facility. What types of destinations are accessible from the project? How many of these activity centers are within one-half mile of the facility? How many are within a quarter of a mile? Examine the activity centers in the vicinity of the project and compare them to the list below. Select the credit factor that corresponds to the number of activity centers in the surrounding area.

ACTIVITY CENTERS CREDITS				
<b>Types of Activity Centers:</b> Bank, church, hospital or HMO, light rail station (park & ride), office park, post office, public library, shopping area or grocery store, university or junior college.				
Count your activity centers. Credit (C) Credit (C)				
If there are Within 1/2 mile Within 1/4 mile				
At least 3	.0005	.001		
More than 3 but less than 7	.001	.002		
7 or more	.0015	.003		

#### **Emission Factor Inputs for Auto Travel**

	Default	Units	Default	Units
	<b>Auto Trip End Factor</b>		<b>Auto VMT Factor</b>	
ROG Factor	3.26	grams/trip	.36	grams/mile
NOx Factor	1.56	"	.71	"
PM10 Factor	0	"	.45	11

These default emission factors are for an 11-15 year effectiveness period. See Table 3 to select emission factors for different effectiveness periods.

Formulas Units Annual Auto Trip Reduced = (D) \* (ADT) \* (A + C) trips/year

Annual Auto VMT Reduced = (Auto Trips) \* (L) miles/year

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) = lbs./year

[(Annual Auto Trips Reduced)\*(Auto Trip End Factor) + (Annual Auto VMT Reduced)\*(Auto VMT Factor)]/454

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^{n} (i)}{(1+i)^{n} - 1}$$

where: i = discount rate (Assume 5 percent)n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = 
$$(CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)$$
 dollars/lb.

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

(lbs. per year) 
$$/[(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$$

**Documentation:** Adjustment factors were derived from a limited set of bicycle commute mode split data for cities and university towns in the southern and western United States (Source: FHWA National Bicycling And Walking Study, 1992). This data was then averaged and multiplied by 0.7 to estimate potential auto travel diverted to bikes. On average, 70% of all person trips are taken by auto (Source: 1991 Statewide Travel Survey), and it is these trips that can be considered as possible auto trips reduced. Finally, this number was multiplied by 0.65 to estimate the growth in bicycle trips from construction of the bike facility. Sixty-five percent represents the average growth in bike trips from a new bike facility as observed in before and after data for bike projects in U.S. DOT's "A Compendium of Available Bicycle and Pedestrian Trip Generation Data in the United States." Benefits are scaled to reflect differences in project structure, length, traffic intensity, community size, and proximity of activity centers. The scale has been adapted from a method developed by Dave Burch of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).

**Note 1:** Because ADT represents vehicles passing a single point, it may neglect vehicles that travel only a short distance on the corridor and, as a result, underestimate total vehicle trips. Therefore, the number of vehicles diverted to bicycles may be underestimated in this method. If actual vehicle trips in the corridor are known, this number should be used in place of ADT.

**Note 2:** Bicycle usage data is limited. From the data currently available, a positive correlation has been observed between the percentage of an area's arterials that have full width bike lanes, and the percentage of commuters who bike to work. Simply put, more bike lanes are associated with more bike commuting. More specifically, for an area with a given ratio of bike lanes to arterials, we observe that roughly one-fourth of that ratio is equal to the percentage of commuters that bike to work. More research and data are needed to confirm this relationship and to clarify the causes of this positive correlation.

Bicycle Facilities EXAMPLE

#### Class 2 Bikeway Facility

The new Class 2 bike lanes are a critical link in the city bike system, allowing residents bicycle access to education, employment, shopping, and transit. Within one-half mile of the project, there is a college, a shopping center, a light rail station, and an office building. The project includes installation of new pavement, signage, and Class 2 bike lane striping along both sides of 1.13 miles of arterials. This is primarily a college town, with a population of 128,000.

#### Inputs to Calculate Cost-Effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding): \$55,000 Effectiveness Period (Life): 15 years

Days (D): 200

Average Length **(L)** of bicycle trips: 1.8 miles Annual Average Daily Traffic **(ADT)**: 16,000

Adjustment (A) on ADT for auto trips replaced by bike trips from the bike facility: 0.0109

Credit (C) for Activity Centers near the project: 0.001

#### Emissions Factors (From Table 3, for a 15-year Life):

	Auto Trip End Factor	Auto VIVI Factor
ROG Factor	3.26 grams/trip	0.36 grams/ mile
NOx Factor	1.56	0.71
PM10 Factor	0	0.45

#### Calculations:

#### Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx and PM10) in lbs. per year

- = [(Annual Auto Trips Reduced) \* (Auto Trips End Factor)
  - + (Annual Auto VMT Reduced) \* (Auto VMT Factor)] /454

```
ROG: [(38,080 * 3.26 + 68,544 * 0.36)]/454 = 328 lbs. per year NOx: [(38,080 * 1.56 + 68,544 * 0.71)]/454 = 238 lbs. per year PM10: [(38,080 * 0 + 68,544 * 0.45)]/454 = 68 lbs. per year
```

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF): 
$$(1 + i)^n(i)$$
 Where  $n = project \ life \ (15 \ years)$ 
(From Table 8)  $(1 + i)^n - 1$  and  $i = discount \ rate \ (5\%)$ 

$$= 0.104 = .10$$
1.08

Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars: (CRF \* Funding) 
$$/$$
 (ROG + NOx + PM10) =  $[.10 *55,000] / [328 + 238 + 68]$  = \$8 per lb.

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (328 + 238 + 68 = 634) and convert lbs. of emissions reductions per year to kg/day:

# **Telecommunications**

**Project definition:** Programs and equipment that enable teleconferencing, or telecommuting, from home or from a neighborhood center.

**How emissions are reduced:** Emissions are reduced when auto trips are replaced with (1) home-based telecommuting, (2) teleconferencing, or (3) shorter auto trips to a neighborhood telecommuting center.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars

Work weeks per year

Weekly one-way auto trips eliminated (i.e., home-work trips or work-meeting trips)

Average length of auto trips eliminated

(i.e., distance from home to work or from work to meeting)

Weekly one-way auto trips to telesite

Average length of auto trips to telesite

Inputs	Default	Units	Comments
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	5	years	If no equipment was purchased, enter the number of years funding is available.
Inputs for Trips Eliminated			
Auto Trips (T) eliminated		trips one-way/week	Examples: (1) For home-based telecommute projectsthe number of auto trips eliminated to and from the workplace per week. (2) For teleconferencing projectsthe number of auto trips eliminated to and from the meeting site during an average week. (3) For telecommute centerthe number of auto trips that had been made to the worksite before using the telecenter.

Inputs	Defa	ult Units	Comments
Length ( <b>L</b> ) of Auto Trips	16	miles	Examples: (1) For
eliminated		one direction/trip	telecommutingaverage distance
			from home to work (default is 16
			miles), (2) For teleconferencing
			average distance from work to
			meeting site. (3) For telecenter
			average distance from home to
			worksite before using telecenter
Weeks ( <b>W</b> )		weeks	Examples: (1) Home-based
		(of operation)/year	telecommute50 weeks, (2)
			Teleconferencing52 weeks. (3)
			Telecenter50 weeks.
Inputs for Trips Added			
New Auto Trips (New T)		trips one-	Examples: (1) For home-based
		way/week	telecommuting, enter 0. (2) For
			teleconference, enter number of
			auto trips to and from the
			teleconference site. (3) For
			telecenter, enter the number of
			auto trips to and from the
			telecenter for a week.
New Auto Trip Length		miles one	Examples: (1) For home-based
(New L)		direction/trip	telecommuting, enter 0. (2) For
			teleconferenceaverage distance
			from home to center. (3) For
			telecenteraverage distance from
			work to teleconference center.

#### **Emission Factor Inputs for Auto Travel**

	Default	Units	Default	Units
	<b>Auto Trip End Factor</b>		<b>Auto VMT Factor</b>	
ROG Factor	4.98	grams/trip	0.55	grams/mile
NOx Factor	2.05	"	1.02	"
PM10 Factor	0	"	0.45	"

To locate emission factors, refer to emission factor tables at the end of the document. Defaults are for average light-duty cars and trucks plus motorcycles for 1 to 5 years effectiveness period. See Table 3 to select emission factors for different effectiveness periods.

**Formulas** Units

Annual Auto Trips Reduced = 
$$W * [(T) - (New T)]$$

trips/year

Annual Auto VMT Reduced = 
$$W * [(T)*(L) - (New T)*(New L)]$$

miles/year

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) =

lbs/year

[(Annual Auto Trips Reduced)\*(Auto Trip End Factor)

+ (Annual Auto VMT Reduced)\*(Auto VMT Factor)]/454

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^{n}(i)}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$$

where: i = discount rate (Assume 5 percent)

n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = 
$$(CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)$$

dollars/lb

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

$$(lbs \ per \ year) / [(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$$

(Note: If the project includes both home-based telecommuting as well as teleconferencing or telecenters, then the formula should be run separately for each aspect of the project.)

Telecommunications EXAMPLE

#### **County Probation Videophone Project**

A videophone-interviewing project is implemented by the County Probation Department.

Videophone equipment is installed for \$65,000 at the branch probation offices and two detention centers. Videophone interviewing of 5,000 inmates per year saves 200 one-way trips per week to and from detention centers (a distance of 29 miles on average).

#### Inputs to calculate cost-effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding): \$65,000 Effectiveness Period (Life): 5 years

One-Way Auto Trips Eliminated Per Week (T): 200

Length (L) of Auto Trips Eliminated: 29 miles one-way

Weeks (W) = 50 weeks New Auto Trips (New T): 0

New Auto Trip Length (New L): not applicable

#### **Emissions Factors for Auto Travel (From Table 3):**

	Auto Trip End Factor	Auto VMT Factor
ROG Factor	4.98 grams per trip	0.55 grams per mile
NOx Factor	2.05	1.02
PM10 Factor	0	0.45

#### Calculations:

Annual Auto Trips Reduced = 
$$(W)^*[(T) - (New T)]$$
  
=50 \*  $(200-0) = 10,000$   
Annual Auto VMT Reduced =  $(W)^*[(T)^*(L) - (New T)^*(New L)]$   
=  $(50)^*[(200)^*(29) - 0] = 290,000$ 

#### Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10)

- = [(Annual Auto Trips Reduced) \* (Auto Trip End Factor)
  - + (Annual Auto VMT Reduced) \* (Auto VMT Factor)]/454

```
ROG: [(10,000) * (4.98) + (290,000) * (0.55)]/454 = 461 lbs. per year NOx: [(10,000) * (2.05) + (290,000) * (1.02)]/454 = 697 lbs. per year PM10: [(10,000) * (0) + (290,000) * (0.45)]/454 = 287 lbs. per year
```

# Telecommunications, Continued . . .

**EXAMPLE** 

**Capital Recovery**  $\underline{(1+i)^n(i)} = \underline{.0638} = 0.23$  where n= project life (5 years)

Factor(CRF)=

**(From Table 8)**  $(1 + i)^n - 1$  0.276 and  $i = discount \ rate (5\%)$ 

Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars = (CRF \* Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)= (0.23\*65,000) / (461 + 697 + 287) = \$ 10 per lb.

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (461 + 697 + 287 = 1445) and convert emissions reductions to kg/day:

<u>lbs. reduced per year</u> = <u>1445</u> = **2 kg/day** 2.2 lbs./kg \* 365 days/year 2.2 \* 365

## **Ridesharing and Pedestrian Facilities**

**Project definition:** Ridesharing programs replace drive-alone auto trips by encouraging carpooling and other less polluting modes of travel. Pedestrian facilities replace auto trips by providing or improving pedestrian access. An example is a pedestrian passageway over several lanes of heavy traffic providing safe walking access to adjacent activity centers.

**How emissions are reduced:** Ridesharing reduces emissions when drive-alone auto trips are replaced with less polluting modes of travel. Pedestrian facilities reduce emissions when auto trips are replaced by walking.

#### Need to know:

Funding dollars
Work weeks or operating weeks per year
Weekly one-way auto trips eliminated
Average length of auto trips eliminated

Inputs	Default	Units	<b>Text Comments</b>
Funding Dollars ( <b>Funding</b> )		dollars	
Effectiveness Period ( <b>Life</b> )	1	year	Ridesharing: Enter 1 year.
			Pedestrian: Enter 20 years.
Inputs for Trips Eliminated			
Auto Trips ( <b>T</b> ) eliminated		trips	The number of auto trips
		one-way/week	eliminated per week to and from
			workplace (for ridesharing) or to
			and from activity center (for
			pedestrian projects).
Length ( <b>L</b> ) of Auto Trips	16	miles	Default (16 mi.) is for ridesharing
eliminated		one direction/trip	projects and equals the average
			distance from home to work.
			Pedestrian projects should use
			the average distance of auto trip
			to adjacent activity center. One
			mile is suggested. This is the
			average distance of pedestrian
			trips.
Weeks (W)	52	weeks	If trips eliminated (T) is based on
		(of operation)/year	employee numbers that exclude
			workers on sick leave, vacations,
			etc. then (W) equals 52.
			Otherwise (W) typically equals
			50.

Inputs	Default	Units	Text Comments
Inputs for Trips Added			
Adjustment (A) for Auto Access Trips to transit, vanpools, and carpools	0.7		Adjustment ( <b>A</b> ) equals the portion of employees who do NOT drive to transit, vanpools, or carpools. Default 0.7 equals the adjustment
Note: No adjustment is made on Length (L) of Auto Trips eliminated because access trip length is an insignificant portion of annual VMT reduced.			(A) for areas with average transit use. Use 0.6 for high transit use (i.e., commute transit mode split >10%). Use 1.0 if Method 2 was used to determine Auto Trips (T) eliminated. Use 1.0 for pedestrian projects.

#### **Emission Factor Inputs for Auto Travel**

	Default	Units	Default	Units
	<b>Auto Trip End Factor</b>		<b>Auto VMT Factor</b>	
ROG Factor	4.98	grams/trip	0.55	grams/mile
NOx Factor	2.05	"	1.02	"
PM10 Factor	0	"	0.45	"

To locate emission factors, refer to emission factor tables at the end of the document. Defaults are for average light-duty cars and trucks plus motorcycles for 1 to 5 years effectiveness period. See Table 3 to select emission factors for different effectiveness periods.

Formulas
Annual Auto Trips Reduced = W \* T \* AUnits
trips/year

Annual Auto VMT Reduced = W \* T \* Lmiles/year

Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10) = lbs/year [(Annual Auto Trips Reduced)\*(Auto Trip End Factor) + (Annual Auto VMT Reduced)\*(Auto VMT Factor)]/454

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) =  $\frac{(1+i)^{n}(i)}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ 

where: i = discount rate (Assume 5 percent)n = project life

Cost-Effectiveness of

Funding Dollars = (CRF \* Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10) dollars/lb

Note: The Federal Highway Administration requests that emission reductions from CMAQ projects be reported as kilograms/day. The conversion is

 $(lbs \ per \ year) / [(2.2)*(365)] = kilograms/day$ 

This method can also be adapted to evaluate **Transportation Management Organizations** (**TMOs**) if the number of auto trips eliminated by the program is known.

<u>Ridesharing</u> (Optional Method 1)

For *ridesharing programs* where the average number of daily peak-period employees and Average Vehicle Ridership (AVR) are known, you can use the following formula to find Auto Trips Eliminated (T). Auto Trips Eliminated (T) is needed in the above formulas to calculate **Annual Auto Trips Reduced** and **Annual Auto VMT Reduced**.

$$T$$
 trips/week = 2 trips/day \* 5 days/week \* Peak-Period Employees \* [  $\frac{1}{\text{Baseline AVR}} - \frac{1}{\text{New AVR}}$  ]

**Notes:** (1) The **New AVR** is the AVR for the current year. The **Baseline AVR** occurred before the ridesharing program was implemented. (2) The number of days/week should be adjusted to the appropriate operating schedule for the company or agency. (3) Sometimes the number of employees in the work force changes over time. In these situations, use the most current number of employees in the formula. (4) The formula is based on the assumption that AVR will revert back to the baseline without an ongoing ridesharing program. Therefore, the benefits of the program include trip reductions from previous years that are maintained, as well as additional new trip reductions. (5) If you want to evaluate a ridesharing program over several years, you should determine trips eliminated (**T**) separately for each year of the analysis period and use the average for (**T**). To do this, you need to know the AVR for each year.

Ridesharing (Optional Method 2)

For *ridesharing programs* where a week-long commute travel survey is used, you can use the worksheets provided on the following pages to determine **Annual Auto Trips Reduced** and **Annual Auto VMT Reduced**.

- Calculate (A) number of commute employees, (B) weekly trips, and (C) weekly VMT by
  plugging your commute travel survey data into the "Weekly Trips and VMT Worksheet"
  on the next page.
- Calculate Annual Auto Trips Reduced and Annual Auto VMT Reduced by plugging
  the totals from the "Weekly Trips and VMT Worksheet" into the "Annual Auto Trips and
  VMT Reduced Worksheet."
- Enter Annual Auto Trips Reduced and Annual Auto VMT Reduced in the formulas
  provided in the original methodology on the previous pages to calculate emission
  reductions and cost-effectiveness.

## Employer Rideshare Programs Weekly Trips and VMT Worksheet

Commute mode	Employee days/week (from survey)	X	Trips/day factor	=	Trips/week subtotal	X	Access trip correction factor	II	Trips/week
Bicycle			0.0		0.0				0.0
Walk			0.0		0.0				0.0
Telecommute			0.0		0.0				0.0
Compressed work week day off			0.0		0.0				0.0
Solo drive (& motorcycle)		X	2.0	II			ł	II	
Public transportation						X	1.0	Ш	
Carpool (default avo = 2.5)		X	0.8	Ш		X	1.25	Ш	
Vanpool (default avo = 8.5)		X	0.24	=		X	5.25	II	
	÷ 5 =				x 16.0 mi. =				
	(A) Commute Employees				(B) VMT/week				(C) Trips/week

<sup>\*</sup> Average commute trip length. avo = average vehicle occupancy

# **Employer Rideshare Programs Annual Auto Trips and VMT Reduced Worksheet**

Use Totals (A), (B), and (C) from Weekly Trip and VMT Worksheet

Annual Auto Trips Reduced

111111111111111111111111111111111111111	•••	rips reduced	·	
Trips/week (C)	÷	# of commute employees (A)	II	Weekly trips/ commute employee
	÷		=	

Baseline weekly trips/commute employee (Default: 8.7)	-	Weekly trips/ commute employee	=	Weekly trips/ commute employee reduced
	1		Ш	

Weekly trips/commute employee reduced (from row above)	х	50 weeks*	Ш	Annual trips/ employee reduced	x	Total # of employees**	=	Annual Auto Trips Reduced
	X	50	=		X		II	

#### Annual Auto VMT Reduced

VMT/week (B)	÷	# of commute employees (A)	Ш	Weekly VMT/ commute employee
	÷		II	

Baseline weekly VMT/commute employee (Default: 139)	-	Current year Wkly VMT/ employee	II	Weekly VMT/ commute employee reduced
	-		=	

Weekly VMT/commute employee reduced (from row above)	х	50 weeks*	=	Annual VMT/ employee reduced	X	Total # of employees**	Ш	Annual Auto VMT Reduced
	Х	50	=		X		=	

<sup>\*</sup> A 50-week default is used since the number of commute employees excludes workers on sick leave and vacation. If the worksite is not in operation year-round, adjust the number accordingly.

Baseline weekly VMT and trips per commute employee is generally calculated from survey data the year before the program started. If baseline figures are not available, use the defaults provided.

Use the Annual Auto Trips Reduced and the Annual Auto VMT Reduced totals from this worksheet in the formula for calculating emission reductions from ridesharing programs.

<sup>\*\*</sup> If the weekly travel survey includes part-time employees, count them proportionately to their commute days, e.g., an employee working two days a week counts as 0.40 employee (2/5 = 0.40).

#### **Worksheet Calculations**

Auto trips and VMT reduced equal the difference between the trips and VMT per employee before and after the program has been implemented, multiplied by the number of employees at the worksite(s).

#### Calculating Annual Auto Trips Reduced

Using "Weekly Trips and VMT Worksheet," add "employee days/week" for each commute mode and divide the sum by 5 (days) to get "# of commute employees."

Multiply "employee days/week" for each commute mode by the "trips/day factor," and multiply that total by the "access trip correction factor" to get "trips/week" for each commute mode.

Using "Annual Auto Trips and VMT Reduced Worksheet," add the "trips/week" for each commute mode to get total "trips/week." Divide "trips/week" by the "# of commute employees" to get "weekly trips/commute employee."

Subtract "weekly trips/commute employee" from the "baseline weekly trips/commute employee" to obtain "weekly trips/commute employee reduced."

Multiply "weekly trips/commute employee reduced" by 50 weeks to get "annual trips/commute employee reduced."

Multiply "annual trips/commute employee reduced" by the "total # of employees" at the worksite(s) to obtain "annual auto trips reduced."

#### Calculating Annual Auto VMT Reduced

Multiply "employee days/wk" for each commute mode by the "trips/day factor" to get "trips/week subtotal" for each commute mode.

Add "trips/week subtotal" for each commute mode and multiply the sum by the "average commute distance" to get "VMT/week." Divide "VMT/week" by the "# of commute employees" to get "weekly VMT/commute employee."

Subtract "weekly VMT/commute employee" from the "baseline weekly VMT/commute employee" to obtain "weekly VMT/commute employee reduced."

Multiply "weekly VMT/commute employee reduced" by 50 weeks to get "annual VMT/commute employee reduced."

Multiply "annual VMT/commute employee reduced" by the "total # of employees" at the worksite(s) to obtain "annual auto VMT reduced."

## Worksheet Assumptions

Average one-way commute trip length: The 1995 National Personal Transportation Survey indicated the average home-to-work trip is 11-12 miles. The Southern California State of the Commute Survey estimated the average home-to-work trip to be 16-17 miles. However, since surveys of employer Transportation Demand Management (TDM) programs (100+ employees) have shown a commute distance closer to 16-17 miles, a 16-mile average is used for this methodology.

*Trips/day factor*: It is assumed that bicycle, telecommute, compressed work week day off, and walk commute modes do not generate any commute-related vehicle trips. Solo driving and motorcycles generate 2 commute trips per day. Carpools and vanpools generate varying trips/day based on the number of passengers. For example, a person in a carpool that averages 2.5 occupants generates 0.8 trips per day (1 vehicle divided by 2.5 occupants equals 0.4 trips, multiplied by 2 trips equals 0.8 trips per day).

*Default carpool and vanpool factors*: Based on average vehicle occupancy of 2.5 for a carpool and 8.5 for a vanpool. (Source: 1996 Southern California State of the Commute Survey)

Access trip correction factor: It is assumed that 50% of public transportation commuters, 50% of vanpoolers, and 10% of carpoolers drive a personal vehicle to the mode access point. (Source: Percentages developed by California Air Resources Board, using 1996 Southern California State of the Commute Survey, Bay Area Air Quality Management District data, and emission reduction analyses of California motor vehicle fee TDM projects.) Example: A vanpool averaging 8.5 occupants generates 5.25 one-way vehicle trips because 1 van is driven and 4.25 passengers (50%) drive to the vanpool access point. Over five times more one-way trips are generated (5.25 instead of 2) than if there were no access trips, so 5.25 is the access trip correction factor. Access trips are included in trips/week calculations but not VMT/week calculations because they add a significant amount of trips to overall commute travel but a fairly insignificant amount of VMT.

Default baseline weekly trips and VMT per employee: 8.7 trips/week, 139 VMT/week. The 1995 National Personal Transportation Survey indicates the average daily commute vehicle trip rate is 1.75. 1.75 multiplied by 5 days per week equals 8.7 trips per week. 8.7 trips per week multiplied by a 16-mile average commute distance equals 139 VMT per week. (Note: Weekly trip and VMT rates per employee are calculated in order to compensate for not having completed surveys from every employee and/or for having a different number of employees in the baseline and current years.)

Ridesharing EXAMPLE

## **County Trip Reduction Program**

A county conducts a comprehensive employee trip reduction program, which includes vanpool and carpool programs, telecommuting, compressed work schedules, and guaranteed emergency transportation.

#### Inputs to Calculate Cost-Effectiveness:

Funding Dollars (Funding): \$140,505 Effectiveness Period (Life): 1 year

One-Way Auto Trips Eliminated Per Week (T) Using Optional Method 1:

T = 2 trips/day \* 5 days/week \* peak period employees \* [1/Baseline AVR - 1/New AVR] where baseline AVR is 1.13, new AVR is 1.19, and there are 15,750 peak period employees.

Therefore, T = 2 trips/day \* 5 days/week \* 15,750 peak period employees \* [1/1.13 - 1/1.19] = 6300 trips

Length (L) of Auto Trips Eliminated: 16 miles

Weeks (W) = 52 weeks

Adjustment (A): 0.7 For auto access trips to transit, vanpools, and carpools

#### **Emissions Factors for Auto Travel (From Table 3):**

	Auto Trip End Factor	Auto VMT Factor
ROG Factor	4.98 grams per trip	0.55 grams per mile
NOx Factor	2.05	1.02
PM10 Factor	0	0.45

#### Calculations:

Annual Auto Trips Reduced = 
$$(W)*(T)*(A)$$
  
=  $52*6300*.7 = 229,320$   
Annual Auto VMT Reduced =  $(W)*(T)*(L)$   
=  $52*6300*16$  miles  
=  $5,241,600$  annual VMT reduced

#### Annual Emission Reductions (ROG, NOx, and PM10)

```
= [(Annual Auto Trips Reduced) * (Auto Trip End Factor)
+ (Annual Auto VMT Reduced) * (Auto VMT Factor)]/454
```

**ROG:** [(229,320) \* (4.98) + (5,241,600) \* (0.55)]/454 =**8,865 lbs.** per year **NOx:** [(229,320) \* (2.05) + (5,241,600) \* (1.02)]/454 =**12,812 lbs.** per year **PM10:** [(229,320) \* (0) + (5,241,600) \* (0.45)]/454 =**5,195 lbs.** per year

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) =  $\frac{(1+i)^n(i)}{(1+i)^n} = \frac{.0525}{.0525} = 1.05$  where n = project life (1 year) (From Table 8)  $(1+i)^n - 1 = 0.05$  and i = discount rate (5 %)

Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars = (CRF \* Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)= (1.05 \* 140,505) / (8,865 + 12,812 + 5,195) = \$5 per lb.

#### FOR CMAQ PROJECTS ONLY:

Once emissions reductions have been calculated, add them together (8,865 + 12,812 + 5,195) = 26,872) and convert emissions reductions to kg/day:

<u>lbs. reduced per year</u> = <u>26,872</u> = **33 kg/day** 2.2 lbs./kg \* 365 days/year 2.2 \* 365

Table 1 Bus Emission Factors (VMT Factors in grams/mile)

## Older Urban Transit Buses (1973-1995)

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Diesel</u> <u>Fuel</u>	Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Liquified Natural Gas (LNG)
Organic Gases (Use as ROG)	1973-83 1984+	4.2 3.7	Not Applicable 3.7
Nitrogen Oxides (NOx)	Pre-1984 1984-90 1991-95	30.4 22.5 21.5	Not Applicable Not Applicable 12.3
Particulate Matter (PM10)	Pre-1984 1984-90 1991-93 1994-95	2.28 1.45 .70 .64	Not Applicable Not Applicable .62 .59

## 1996 Urban Transit Bus Emission Factors and Commuter Express Bus Emission Factors

**Urban Transit Bus** – 15 mph

**Commuter Express Bus** – 45 mph

	4.0 g/bhp-hr NOx Std	2.0 g/bhp-hr NOx Std	4.0 g/bhp-hr NOx Std	2.0 g/bhp-hr NOx Std
ROG	3.1 g/mi	3.1 g/mi	1.1 g/mi	1.1 g/mi
NOx	17.2 g/mi	8.6 g/mi	12.5 g/mi	6.3 g/mi
PM10	0.6 g/mi	0.6 g/mi	0.5 g/mi	0.5 g/mi

Source: MVEI7G, Certification and In-Use Tests. CNG/LNG emission factors are based on limited extended in-use testing and are subject to a larger error band than diesel emission factors. ROG and NOx are exhaust emissions.

PM10 factors include exhaust, tire wear (.065 g/mi.), brake wear (.013 g/mi.), and paved road dust (.422 g/mi.). The road dust portion of the PM10 factor is based on U.S. EPA's <u>Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors</u> (AP-42, January 1995). Silt loading and vehicle weight data used as inputs to EPA's equation are from <u>Improvement of Specific Emission Factors</u> (BACM Project No. 1), Final Report, Midwest Research Institute, March 1996.

Note: Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) contribute to the atmospheric formation of both ozone and aerosol particulate matter. For this reason, NOx reductions are critical to reducing both pollutants.

## Table 2 Medium-Duty Vehicle Emission Factors (1995 and Later)

#### Baseline Vehicles

Emission factors in grams per mile for chassis certified medium-duty vehicles					
Weight (lbs.)* ROG NOx PM10					
5751-8500	0.49	1.35	0.56		
8501-10,000	0.58	1.60	0.56		
10,001-14,000	0.75	2.45	0.56		

#### Cleaner Vehicles

Low-emission medium-duty vehicle (LEV) emission factors in grams per mile					
Weight (lbs.) ROG NOx PM10					
5751-8500	0.24	0.77	0.56		
8501-10,000	0.29	0.88	0.56		
10,001-14,000	0.38	1.29	0.56		

Ultra low-emission medium-duty vehicle (ULEV) emission factors in grams per mile				
Weight (lbs.)	ROG	NOx	PM10	
5751-8500	0.15	0.77	0.50	
8501-10,000	0.17	0.88	0.50	
10,001-14,000	0.23	1.29	0.50	

Super ultra low-emission medium-duty vehicle (SULEV) emission factors in grams per mile				
Weight (lbs.)	ROG	NOx	PM10	
5751-8500	0.07	0.39	0.50	
8501-10,000	0.09	0.44	0.50	
10,001-14,000	0.12	0.62	0.50	

Zero-emission medium-duty vehicle (ZEV) emission factors in grams per mile						
Weight (lbs.) ROG NOx PM10						
All weights 0 0 0.44						

<sup>\*</sup>Gross vehicle weights can be associated with passenger capacity as follows: 5751-8500, roughly 8 passengers; 8501-10,000, roughly 10-15 passengers; 10,001-14,000, roughly 20 passengers or more.

**Source:** Based on California Vehicle Exhaust Standards, current as of January 1999. Factors for ROG and NOx represent a weighted average of emission standards over a 120,000-mile life; the first 50,000 miles are assessed at the 50,000-mile standard, and the remaining 70,000 miles are assessed at the 120,000-mile standard. PM10 factors include motor vehicle exhaust (.12 g/mi. for gas/diesel, LEV; and .06 g/mi. for ULEV, SULEV), tire wear (.008 g/mi. for all), brake wear (.013 g/mi. for all), and entrained road dust (.422 g/mi. for all). The road dust portion of the PM10 factor is based on U.S. EPA's Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (AP-42, January 1995). Silt loading and vehicle weight data used as inputs to EPA's equation are from Improvement of Specific Emission Factors (BACM Project No. 1), Final Report, Midwest Research Institute, March 1996.

**Table 3 Average Auto Emission Factors** 

<b>Analysis Period:</b>	1-5 Years (1997-2001)	6-10 Years (1997-2006)		16-20 Years (1997-2016)
ROG	, ,	,	,	,
vmt (g/mi)	0.55	0.44	0.36	0.30
commute trips (g/trip)	4.98	4.03	3.26	2.70
average trips (g/trip)	2.91	2.34	1.89	1.56
NOx				
vmt	1.02	0.84	0.71	0.62
commute trips	2.05	1.78	1.56	1.39
average trips	1.49	1.33	1.20	1.11
PM10				
vmt	.45 (all ye	ears)		
trips	Not Applic	cable		

**Source:** Annual Average Emissions Inventories, EMFAC/BURDEN 7G v1.0. Includes average statewide emissions for light duty cars and trucks plus motorcycles. VMT factor equals running exhaust plus running losses divided by daily VMT. Commute trips factor equals statewide start emissions for a commute-type pre-start soak distribution plus hot soak emission divided by daily trips. The commute-type pre-start soak distribution is based on an analysis of the 1991 Statewide Travel Survey all day home-work and work-home trips. Average trips factor equals statewide start emissions plus hot soak emissions divided by daily trips.

PM10 factor includes motor vehicle exhaust (.006 g/mi.), tire wear (.008 g/mi.), brake wear (.013 g/mi.), and entrained road dust (.422 g/mi.). The road dust portion of the PM10 factor is based on U.S. EPA's Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (AP-42, January 1995). Silt loading and vehicle weight data used as inputs to EPA's equation are from Improvement of Specific Emission Factors (BACM Project No. 1), Final Report, Midwest Research Institute, March 1996.

NOTE: Light-duty vehicle emission standards require progressively cleaner fleet average emissions. This accounts for the gradual decrease in fleet average emission factors over time.

TO USE TABLE to find annual emissions related to travel: 1) select time period that corresponds to life of project, 2) multiply annual miles traveled by the VMT factor, 3) multiply annual number of trips by the trips factor, 4) add VMT emissions to trip emissions, 5) divide by 454 grams/lb to get lbs of emissions per year, 6) repeat for each pollutant. (Note: Use the commute trips factor when analyzing work trips. Use the average trips factor when analyzing a variety of trip types. The VMT factor is the same in both instances.)

Table 4 Emission Factors by Speed Project Life 1-5 years (1997-2001) grams/mile

mph	ROG	NOx	mph	ROG	NOx
5	3.40	2.30	35	0.40	1.14
6	3.04	2.20	36	0.39	1.16
7	2.67	2.09	37	0.38	1.17
8	2.31	1.99	38	0.37	1.19
9	1.94	1.88	39	0.36	1.20
10	1.58	1.78	40	0.35	1.22
11	1.45	1.72	41	0.34	1.25
12	1.33	1.66	42	0.33	1.28
13	1.20	1.60	43	0.33	1.31
14	1.08	1.54	44	0.32	1.34
15	0.95	1.48	45	0.31	1.37
16	0.90	1.44	46	0.31	1.41
17	0.85	1.40	47	0.31	1.45
18	0.79	1.36	48	0.30	1.50
19	0.74	1.32	49	0.30	1.54
20	0.69	1.28	50	0.30	1.58
21	0.66	1.26	51	0.31	1.64
22	0.64	1.24	52	0.31	1.69
23	0.61	1.21	53	0.32	1.75
24	0.59	1.19	54	0.32	1.80
25	0.56	1.17	55	0.33	1.86
26	0.54	1.16	56	0.36	1.93
27	0.52	1.15	57	0.38	2.01
28	0.51	1.14	58	0.41	2.08
29	0.49	1.13	59	0.43	2.16
30	0.47	1.12	60	0.46	2.23
31	0.46	1.12	61	0.57	2.32
32	0.44	1.13	62	0.67	2.42
33	0.43	1.13	63	0.78	2.51
34	0.41	1.14	64	0.88	2.61
			65	0.99	2.70

PM10 Factor is 0.45 for all speeds.

Source: EMFAC/Burden 7G v1.0, 75F, summer fuel, enhanced I/M, statewide fleet averages.

NOTE: Average ROG and NOx emissions are greatest at low and high speeds. ROG is lowest around 50 mph and NOx is lowest around 30 mph.

Table 4A Emission Factors by Speed
For Carbon Monoxide (CO) Nonattainment Areas

Average Emission 1	ife 1-5 Years Factors for 1995-1999 ns/mile	
mph	CO	
5	21.89	
10	12.43	
15	8.58	
20	6.62	
25	5.44	
30	4.63	
35	4.05	
40	3.65	
45	3.45	
50	3.51	
55	3.97	
60	5.94	
65	12.39	

(Source: EMFAC7F1.1/B7F, temperature 75 degrees, statewide fleet averages.)

NOTE: FHWA requests that CO emission reductions be reported for CMAQ projects. California's MV Fee Program does not request CO information. CO is a localized pollutant and not a regional pollution problem. Most projects using CMAQ and MV Fee dollars are funded primarily to reduce regional ozone and PM10 and have little impact on localized CO hot spots.

Signal coordination projects, however, may be targeted at specific CO hot spots in CO nonattainment areas. CO emission factors are included in the 1999 Edition in order to report to FHWA on these types of CMAQ projects. Reporting CO emission reductions should be limited to targeted projects located in CO nonattainment areas (Los Angeles and Imperial counties) or projects in CO maintenance areas.

In addition, CO emissions are several orders of magnitude larger than ozone precursors. CO overwhelms cost-effectiveness ratios unless CO emission reductions are scaled back significantly, typically by a factor of seven. This adjustment should be made when using cost-effectiveness ratios as a basis for funding decisions. Another option is to consider CO projects separately from ozone precursor projects.

Table 5 On-Road Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty Cleaner Vehicle Projects (1998-1999)

"Before Project" Heavy-Duty DIESEL Vehicles (Baseline Emission Factors)

Vehicle Type	Gross Vehicle Weight Rating	NOx Engine Certification Emission Rates g/bhp-hr	Conversion Factors bhp-hr/mi	Emission Factors g/mi
Urban transit buses	All weights	4.0	4.3	17.2
School buses and trucks	8,501 – 14,000	4.0	1.5	6.0
School buses and trucks	14,001 – 33,000	4.0	2.3	9.2
Class 8 urban school bus	> 33,000	6.0	4.3	25.8
Class 8 trucks	> 33,000	6.0	2.6	15.6

The emission factor equals the certification rate multiplied by the conversion factor.

"After Project" Heavy-Duty Cleaner Vehicles

EXAMPLES for Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) or Liquified Natural Gas (LNG)

Vehicle Type	Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (lbs)	NOx Engine Certification Emission Rates g/bhp-hr	Conversion Factors * bhp-hr/mi	Emission Factors g/mi
Urban transit buses	Transit all weights	1.5	4.3	6.45
and Class 8	and	2.0	4.3	8.6
urban school buses	school > 33,000	2.5	4.3	10.75
School buses	8,501 - 14,000	1.5	1.5	2.25
and trucks		2.0	1.5	3.0
		2.5	1.5	3.75
School buses and	14,001 - 33,000	1.5	2.3	3.45
trucks		2.0	2.3	4.6
		2.5	2.3	5.75
Class 8 trucks	> 33,000	1.5	2.6	3.9
		2.0	2.6	5.2
		2.5	2.6	6.5

<sup>\*</sup>Diesel equivalent conversion factors

If the project's NOx engine certification rate is not shown in the table, multiply the appropriate rate times the conversion factor corresponding to the vehicle class to get grams per mile.

Source: <u>ARB Criteria and Guidelines for Use of Motor Vehicle Registration Fees</u>, June 1998 and <u>The Carl Moyer Program Guidelines</u>, February 1999.

Table 6 Off-Road Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emission Factors for Cleaner Vehicle Projects

	Construction Equipment Defaults				
Engine Category (HP)	Hours of Operation (Hrs/yr)	Load (%)	Uncontrolled Diesel NO <sub>x</sub> "Before Project" Baseline Engine (g/bhp-hr)	NOx Standard 1998-2000 "After Project" Cleaner Engine (g/bhp-hr)	Uncontrolled Compressed Natural Gas NO <sub>x</sub> (g/bhp-hr)
50 to 175	130	0.68	13	6.9	9
176 +	130	0.68	11	6.9	9

Operating hours can range from 130 to 1836 hours per year and load factor can vary between 0.43 and 0.78.

	Agricultural Equipment Defaults				
Engine Category (HP)	Hours of Operation (Hrs/yr)	Load (%)	Uncontrolled Diesel NO <sub>x</sub> "Before Project" Baseline Vehicle (g/bhp-hr)	NOx Standard 1998-2000 "After Project" Cleaner Vehicle (g/bhp-hr)	Uncontrolled Compressed Natural Gas NO <sub>x</sub> (g/bhp-hr)
50 to 175	110	0.50	13	6.9	9
176 +	110	0.50	11	6.9	9

Operating hours can range from 110 to 814 hours per year and load factor can vary between 0.48 and 0.70.

Source: ARB's Mobile Source Control Division Off-Road Model, 1997 (ARB will consider an updated off-road model in late 1999.)

## Table 7 Light-Duty Vehicle Emission Factors (1995 and Later)

## **Baseline Vehicles**

Gasoline, diesel and methanol light-duty vehicle (Tier 1) emission factors in grams per mile			
Weight (lbs.)	ROG	NOx	PM10
0-3750*	0.28	0.70	0.45
3751-5750**	0.36	1.10	0.45

#### **Cleaner Vehicles**

Transitional low-emission light-duty vehicle (TLEV) emission factors in grams per mile			
Weight (lbs.)	ROG	NOx	PM10
0-3750*	0.14	0.50	0.44
3751-5750**	0.18	0.80	0.44

Low-emission light-duty vehicle (LEV) emission factors in grams per mile			
Weight (lbs.)	ROG	NOx	PM10
0-3750*	0.08	0.25	0.44
3751-5750**	0.12	0.45	0.44

Ultra low-emission light-duty vehicle (ULEV) emission factors in grams per mile			
Weight (lbs.)	ROG	NOx	PM10
0-3750*	0.05	0.25	0.44
3751-5750**	0.06	0.45	0.44

Zero-emission light-duty vehicle (ZEV) emission factors in grams per mile			
Weight (lbs.)	ROG	NOx	PM10
0-3750*	0	0	0.44
3751-5750**	0	0	0.44

<sup>\*</sup>All Passenger Cars; Light Duty Trucks (0-3750 lbs. Loaded Vehicle Weight)

**Source**: Based on California Vehicle Exhaust Standards, current as of January 1999. Factors for ROG and NOx represent a weighted average of emission standards over a 100,000-mile life; the first 50,000 miles are assessed at the 50,000-mile standard, and the remaining 50,000 miles are assessed at the 100,000-mile standard. PM10 factors include motor vehicle exhaust, tire wear (.008 g/mi.), brake wear (.013 g/mi.), and entrained road dust (.422 g/mi.). The road dust portion of the PM10 factor is based on U.S. EPA's Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (AP-42, January 1995). Silt loading and vehicle weight data used as inputs to EPA's equation are from Improvement of Specific Emission Factors (BACM Project No. 1), Final Report, Midwest Research Institute, March 1996. PM10 exhaust for baseline vehicles (.006 g/mi) is based on Annual Average Inventories EMFAC/BURDEN 7G v1.0 for average statewide emissions from light-duty cars and trucks plus motorcycles. PM10 exhaust for cleaner vehicles is assumed to be negligible.

NOTE: Light-duty vehicle manufacturers are required to achieve progressively more stringent fleet average emissions over time. The emission benefits associated with fleet turnover to cleaner vehicles are already credited in the baselines of clean air plans; however, air quality funds may serve as financial incentive to private and public entities that are "early adopters" of new, cleaner vehicle technologies. Incentive programs that lead to the conversion of an agency's vehicle fleet to cleaner vehicles can be justified for a limited time. These programs should be re-evaluated periodically as to their priority for funding when compared to other emission reducing projects.

DUE TO THE INCREASING STRINGENCY OF MANUFACTURERS' FLEET AVERAGE EMISSIONS REQUIREMENTS, 1999 LIGHT-DUTY CLEANER VEHICLE PURCHASES MUST BE ULEV OR CLEANER TO BE ELLIGIBLE FOR AIR QUALITY DOLLARS.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Light Duty Trucks (3751-5750 lbs. Loaded Vehicle Weight)

## **Table 8 Capital Recovery Factors**

The following table gives capital recovery factors that may be used to annualize funding dollars according to project life. The capital recovery factors calculated to two decimal places are the same for discount rates 4.75% and 5%.

Project Life	Capital Recovery Factor for discount rates 4.75% or 5%
1 year	1.05
3 years	0.37
5 years	0.23
7 years	0.17
10 years	0.13
12 years	0.11
15 years	0.10
20 years	0.08

The formula for the capital recovery factor is:

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) = 
$$\frac{(1+i)^n (i)}{(1+i)^n - 1}$$
 where:  $i = \text{discount rate}$   $n = \text{project life}$ 

For example, if the project life is 1 year and the discount rate is 5%, then the capital recovery factor equals 1.05.

$$= \underbrace{(1+i)^{n}(i)}_{(1+i)^{n}-1} = \underbrace{(1+0.05)^{1}(0.05)}_{(1+0.05)^{1}-1} = \underbrace{0.0525}_{0.05} = 1.05$$

To determine cost-effectiveness, funding dollars are amortized over the expected project life using a discount rate. The amortization formula yields a capital recovery factor, which, when multiplied by the funding, gives the annualized funding for the project over its expected lifetime. The discount rate reflects the opportunity cost of public funds for the clean air programs. This is the level of earnings that could be reasonably expected by investing public funds in various financial instruments, such as U.S. Treasury securities. Cost-effectiveness is determined by dividing annualized funds by annual emission reductions (ROG + NOx + PM10).